



MEMBERS

Alex Hudson

Carl Tully

Douglas Holtom

Rexford Brown

Nathan Price

Ted Klainer

Ex-Officio Members

Maureen Sheehan,

Department of Neighborhoods

Sherry Williams,

Swedish First Hill, Community
Engagement

Swedish Medical Center – First Hill
Standing Advisory Committee (SAC)

Meeting Minutes

Meeting #8

November 1, 2017

Approved November 7, 2018

Swedish Medical Center First Hill Campus
747 Broadway – 1 East Conference Room
Seattle, WA 98122

Members and Alternates Present

Alex Hudson

Rexford Brown

Ted Klainer

Douglas Holtom

Staff and Others Present

Maureen Sheehan

DON

Sherry Williams

Swedish

I. Opening and Introductions

Mr. Rexford Brown opened the meeting. Brief introductions followed.

II. Housekeeping

Ms. Maureen Sheehan announced that Ms. Betsy Mickel has retired, Mr. Jeff Dvi-Vardhana moved into a different neighborhood, and Mr. David Nemens decided to step down from the Committee. She added that she had discussions with Ms. Sherry Williams to begin the solicitation for new and additional members for this Committee.

There was a motion to approved Meeting minutes #7 from September 15, 2016 and it was seconded; the Committee voted and the motion was approved.

III. Review Annual Report

Ms. Williams summarized the annual report for fiscal year 2017.

Swedish First Hill submits its status report annually. There were no major construction projects or modifications to the campus during the reporting period. The campus is currently meeting its SOV goal of 45% and tries to maintain and manage at that level.

Ms. Alex Hudson commented about the use and distribution of disability passes to park in the neighborhoods. She noted that there is a perception about the use of disabled parking placards that may not be warranted that allows the users to park on the streets for an unlimited time. She encouraged the Major Institutions on First Hill to thoroughly evaluate how these disabled placards are used around their campuses.

Ms. Williams commented about the employee options for transportation including transit and ferry passes and subsidies, zip cars, as well as a new parking system. The new LUUM parking system allows staff to pay per use instead of monthly. The goal of the new system is to encourage staff to use alternative modes of transportation and carpooling.

Mr. Brown commented if the Design Team could provide a high-level overview and summary of the construction timeline for Block 95 and the NW Tower.

Swedish decided to reassess their plans to ensure they will align with the current strategy. The goal of the reevaluation was to determine how to better optimize these investments toward a more efficient project that will benefit Swedish First Hill as well as the community. There was no definite timeline, but a proposal was made to Swedish leadership to review the revised project scope and expects a decision early 1st quarter of 2018 that would allow for a definite schedule and timeline of the projects.

Clarification on these efficiencies and refinements was requested. Swedish answered by saying this is looking for refinements that would better optimize the interior layout of the buildings, less so on what is around the campus perimeter and how it affects the neighborhood. A question was asked about whether Swedish is fully committed on all the public benefits that were committed to and there will be no changes regardless of any modifications made to the buildings.

A comment was made that once the revised project scope is approved early 1st quarter 2018, the Design Team will maintain its current MUP application as quickly as possible, but it will be premature to commit on any specific timeline.

The primary goal of this exercise is to comply with the MIMP without any changes and work within the confines of the MUP application in place and adhere to all the public benefits. The focus of these refinements is on the interior modifications and look for efficiencies and not to impact the reviews and approvals that already took place.

A comment was made about why was an evaluation was needed. Swedish asked there was an eye-opening moment about the health care economics and wanted to ensure that the campus is doing everything to accomplish the greatest value of these projects and reconfirm that these will make the campus more efficient.

A question was asked about the public benefits and when during the project they will be implemented. There will be further discussions on the pros and cons as well as the different approaches. Ms. Hudson commented that Swedish First Hill does an excellent job in prioritizing the critical needs, and have the full support of the Committee, but this project delay will put a hold on project work that is ongoing including the Intersection along Seneca and Minor and the First Hill Park.

Ms. Williams commented that she anticipates further conversations regarding the current public benefits and the improvements. She added that there will be opportunities to address and review these specific projects.

IV. Public Comment

Mr. Rexford Brown opened the meeting for public comments. There were no public comments.

V. Committee Deliberation

Mr. Rexford Brown opened the meeting for Committee deliberation. There was no Committee deliberation.

VI. Adjournment and scheduling of next meeting

No further business being before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned.